

REMARKS

The Office Actions mailed April 15 2005 and November 26, 2004 and references cited therein have been reviewed. Applicants have, by this amendment, prepared a proposed corrected drawing and amended claims 1-5, 20, 21 and 26-31.

The Examiner objected to the Amendment filed on February 22, 2005 because the signatures were faint and the terminal disclaimer was not dated. Accordingly, Applicants have resubmitted herein the amendments to the claims and the arguments that were set forth in the Amendment filed on February 22, 2005. Applicant have also enclosed a new terminal disclaimer. A new drawing for Figure 3 is also enclosed. Finally, Applicants have submitted another Information Disclosure statement.

The Examiner, in the November 26, 2005 Office Action objected to Figure 3 for not including reference number 244. Applicant has enclosed a replacement sheet for Figure 3 which includes the requested reference number.

Claim 26 was objected to in the November 26, 2005 Office Action for including two informalities. The claim has been amended as suggested by the Examiner.

Claims 1, 6, 8, 26, 32 and 34 were provisionally rejected in the November 26, 2005 Office Action under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting in view of United States Application Serial no. 10/390,436. Applicant has enclosed herein a terminal disclaimer to overcome the rejection.

THE SECTION 102 & 103 REJECTIONS

Claims 1-23 and 26-45 were rejected in the November 26, 2005 Office Action under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Gustafson. Claims 1-23 and 26-45 were also rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Colella. Claims 1-23 and 26-45 were further rejected under

35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Brofft. Claims 1-23 and 26-45 were also rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Bankstahl. Claims 24, 25, 46 and 47 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Gustafson, Colella, Brofft or Bankstahl in view of Di Novo.

Claims 1 and 26 have been amended to include the limitation of an electric plug that is detachably connectable to a receptacle, wherein the electric plug is electrically connected to the electric air compressor and the receptacle is electrically connected to the electric current generator. This limitation is not disclosed or taught by any of the cited art of record. Claims 4, 5, 30 and 31 also include novel limitations concerning the configuration and/or location of the electric plug. Applicant submits that the claims currently pending in the above-identified patent application are not anticipated or made obvious by the cited art of record. Accordingly, a notice of allowance is solicited for the pending claims.

Respectfully submitted,
FAY, SHARPE, FAGAN, MINNICH & McKEE

By: 

ROBERT V. VICKERS

Reg. No. 19,504

1100 Superior Avenue, 7th Floor

Cleveland, Ohio 44114-2579

Telephone: (216) 861-5582

Facsimile: (216) 241-1666